

**CABINET MEMBER FOR ECONOMIC REGENERATION AND DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES**

Venue: Bailey House, Rawmarsh Road, Rotherham.

Date: Monday, 4 December 2006

Time: 9.00 a.m.

A G E N D A

1. To determine if the following matters are to be considered under the categories suggested, in accordance with the Local Government Act 1972.
2. To determine any item which the Chairman is of the opinion should be considered later in the agenda as a matter of urgency.
3. Minutes of meeting Monday, 6th November, 2006 of Town Centre Management Group. (copy attached) (Pages 1 - 12)
4. Petition for a Temporary Speed Limit and other measures on the B6060 Laughton Common Rd. (report attached) (Pages 13 - 15)
Principal Engineer to report.
 - to receive the petition.
5. Performance Indicators 2nd Quarter. (report attached) (Pages 16 - 39)
Best Value Officer to report.

**(The Chairman authorised consideration of the following item in order to
progress the matter referred to.)**

6. Review of Christmas Illuminations. (report attached) (Pages 40 - 47)
Head of RiDO to report.
 - to consider a suggested response to the Christmas Illuminations Scrutiny Review.

TOWN CENTRE MANAGEMENT GROUP
Monday, 6th November, 2006

Present:-

Julie Roberts	Town Centre & Market Manager
Bernadette Rushton	Assistant Town Centre Manager
Eric Stowe	Access Officer
Jeff Wharfe	Local Economic Development Partnership Officer
Tim O'Connell	Business Development Manager
Jon Bell	Senior Technician
Paul Gibson	Senior Transportation Officer
Peter Thornborrow	Conservation & Heritage Officer

29. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from:-

Noel Bell	
Michelle Musgrave	
Patrick Middleton	
Charles Hammersley	
Marie Hayes	
Dave Potts	
P.C. Andy Poppleton	South Yorkshire Police

30. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING HELD ON 9TH OCTOBER, 2006

Consideration was given to the minutes of the previous meeting held on 9th October, 2006.

Agreed:- That the minutes be approved as a correct record.

31. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE PREVIOUS MINUTES

There were no matters arising.

32. PUBLIC INFORMATION PILLARS

The Town Centre and Markets Manager reported that the proposal to place Public Information Pillars around the town at certain key locations had been discussed with the Conservation and Heritage Officer. It was reported that the aim of the suggestion was to reduce the number of 'A' boards around town as a lot of complaints were received about them. However, there was not a lot of evidence and the Access Officer had no

concern as long as the pavement/area was wide enough. Currently businesses de-canted from Imperial and All Saints Buildings were using 'A' boards to show their new location.

It was explained that some survey work had been done to identify sites and from that the suggested number had now been reduced to 6. The company had stated that it would need 12 pillars to make the proposition viable. There had been debate about the use and purpose of these rather than the iron signage in the town centre.

It was explained also that there was no money in the town centre budget. It also seemed that they would cause more problems than they were worth.

Agreed:- (1) That the installation of public information pillars should not be progressed.

(2) That the Conservation and Heritage Officer write to the Company to thank them for their interest.

(3) That consideration be reviewed in 12 months time.

33. REVIEW OF GROUP

Reference was made to the original remit of this Group, which was set up in March 2005. The Group was originally set up to deliver a 3 year Action Plan and to identify initiatives to take the town centre forward in respect of non-physical works.

There was now a need to:-

- re-visit the key priorities
- the Action Plan
- match Performance Indicators to priorities
- assess how activities had changed
- find out why people were not attending meetings of this group
- look at how the other town centre management groups worked and co-ordinate with them
- look at the relevance of the representation on this group
- reporting mechanism
- date, time and venue of the meeting
- link more to the renaissance agenda and to the Council's corporate objectives

Those present all agreed that some mechanism was needed to enable the sharing of information and to receive updates on progress of initiatives.

Agreed: (1) That a questionnaire be sent to members of the Group

regarding its future, the results of which could be discussed at the January 2007 meeting.

(2) That a chart illustrating the linkages with other town centre groups be provided for the January 2007 meeting.

34. TOWN CENTRE ACTION PLAN UPDATE

Copy attached separately.

35. REVIEW OF THE FUNCTION OF THE GROUP.

Bernadette Rushton gave a PowerPoint presentation relating to the future of this Group.

A copy of the presentation is attached.

36. DATE, TIME AND VENUE FOR THE NEXT MEETING

Agreed: That the next meeting of the Town Centre Management Group be held at the Town Hall, Moorgate Street, Rotherham on Monday, 4th December, 2006 at 3.30 p.m.

Town Centre Management Group Review



The TCM Group was formed in March
2005 as a group that would:

*Develop & progress specific urban centre
management and
operational improvement initiatives,
primarily focussing on non- physical
enhancement measures*



Objectives

To prepare, plan & co-ordinate & deliver a 3 year Town Centre Business Plan

To propose and develop measures which focus on priority issues (as reflected in the Business Plan)

To investigate potential funding sources to assist delivery of the plan



The review will focus on:

- Appropriateness of the priorities and actions within the plan

By revisiting the issues raised 18 months ago and looking at current issues & changes



The review will focus on:

- Measuring Success of the Action Plan

By developing Key Performance Indicators to be used to measure success/ benchmark



The review will focus on:

- Updated audit of existing activity

Town Team

Town Centre Strategy Team

RMBC Regeneration Core Team

Town Centre Planning Team

Town Centre PR & Marketing Group

LSP Economic Spoke

External Partners



The review will focus on:

- The role & function of the group

Plan, Deliver & Co-ordinate

Best practice from elsewhere



The review will focus on:

- Membership

Representation

RMBC plus External Membership

Attendance & Contribution



The review will focus on:

- Structure for feeding into other groups

To report to the Town Centre Strategy Team

Via Delegated Powers Meetings



ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS
--

1.	Meeting:	Economic Regeneration and Development Services
2.	Date:	4th December 2006
3.	Title:	Receipt of Petition for a Temporary Speed Limit and other measures on the B6060 Laughton Common Rd. Dinnington Ward 4 and Rother Vale Ward 11
4.	Programme Area:	Economic and Development Services

5. Summary

To report receipt of a petition with 27 signatures requesting (a): temporary speed limits of 40mph on Laughton Common Road (B6060) and nearby Hawk Hill Lane and (b) warning signs indicating accompanied horses.

6. Recommendations

It be resolved that:

The request for temporary speed limit reductions and warning signs is not acceded to and the lead petitioner informed.

7. Proposals and Details

A petition has been received with 27 signatures regarding the traffic diversion on the B6060 Laughton Common Road and the adjoining Hawk Hill Lane (unclassified). The petition requests a temporary speed limit of 40mph on the two roads and temporary triangular warning signs indicating accompanied horses. The signatories are all horse riders and/or owners with horses stabled at Laughton Common Farm and Side Farm, both off Laughton Common Road.

The traffic diversion is being implemented due to the closure of Todwick Road at the 'road over rail' bridge near to Houghton Road and the North Anston Trading Estate. The closure will be in place for about a year. Short term road works do offer a degree of flexibility in issues such as this, but for this request, any consideration very much needs to recognise both the long term nature of these works (and therefore existing related policies and guidance), and also, that the request does not relate to the site of the road works, but the diversion route some distance away.

With regard to the speed limits the latest Department for Transport Circular (Circular 01/2006) published this year recommends that (permanent) speed limits should very much reflect the mean speed of existing traffic and that 'artificially' low speed limits should not be posted. Instead the focus should be on improved lining and signing of hazards if road traffic deaths and serious injuries are to be reduced. All A and B roads in Rotherham will be reviewed over the next few years in accordance with this guidance, and it is not therefore felt appropriate to pre-empt any review of Laughton Common Road in response to the temporary - but long duration - bridge closure.

With regard to triangular 'accompanied horses' signs, the guidance notes on the erection of such signs are 'where numbers of horses are frequently walked along or crossed over the road'. Horses with riders are legitimate users of the carriageway, and motorised vehicle drivers must always be aware of the possibility of encountering horses and riders anywhere on the public highway network. To avoid over use of the signage and the subsequent maintenance liability, historic use of the sign has focussed on well established crossing points, and this site has not been selected before for these signs. Although traffic flows will temporarily increase during the works, the numbers of horses should be unaffected and so the erection of such signs is not felt appropriate.

8. Finance

Erecting maintaining and taking down the requested signage would cost in the region of £5,000.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Implementing temporary 40mph speed limits could result in the majority of vehicles travelling along Laughton Common Road in excess of the limit at certain locations. If this was the case then this proposal would not be supported by South Yorkshire Police and would be difficult to enforce and as such should not be

promoted by Rotherham MBC. Implementation of such limits could prejudice the forthcoming review of speed limits on A and B roads in Rotherham.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

The recommendation is in line with the department for Transport Circular 01/2006 The Setting of Local Speed Limits.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Consultation with the Local Ward Members has taken place. No responses have been received at the time of writing.
27 signature petition.

Contact Name : David Phillips, Principal Engineer, Highways, *Ext. 2950,*
david.phillips@rotherham.gov.uk

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS
--

1.	Meeting:	Delegated Powers Meeting
2.	Date:	4 December 2006
3.	Title:	Performance Indicators second quarter results 1 April to 30 September 2006/2007
4.	Programme Area:	Economic and Development Services

5. Summary

To ensure continuous improvement of its services the Council has introduced a quarterly system of reporting its performance indicators and these have been set with agreed targets and priorities of the Community, Members and Senior Managers.

This report outlines the performance results for the second quarter of the year 2006/2007. The report focuses on indicators affected by the following issues:-

- Performance measures in the EDS suite of performance indicators.
- Performance indicators that have not reached their target setting.
- All England quartile comparisons.
- Audit Commission/ KPMG Inspection.
- New performance measures.

6. Recommendations

It is resolved that:-

- a) **The Cabinet Member considers the position of the results with comparison to targets.**
- b) **The performance indicator second quarter results for 2006/2007 are noted.**
- c) **That the Councils position in comparison with the Audit Commission 2004/2005 All England results is noted.**

7. Proposals and Details

The programme area approach to quarterly reporting is to focussing its attentions on performance indicators that have failed to meet their target. The first quarter report was presented at the Economic and Development Services (EDS) Programme Area Management Team (PMT) meeting on the 23 November 2006.

The report consists of:-

- a) A main report containing the EDS suite of performance indicators.
- b) An exception report identifying performance indicators that require attention entitled Performance News and Second Quarter KPI Summary 2006/2007.
- c) The PMT aim to improve the indicators contained in the exception report.

8. Finance

Financial support for the performance measures comes from set budgets, Local Transport Plan (LTP), Single Regeneration Budget (SRB), Objective 1, Planning Delivery Grant and in the case of LPSA performance indicators through Government funding. A small percentage of indicators attract incoming subscriptions. Indicators requiring financial support have been identified in the exception report.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

Performance Management is a key driver in the effective delivery and provision of services. It is also a specific theme within the Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA) framework and will feature in the assessment from this year onwards.

Financial support plays a major part in ensuring that indicators achieve their targets including:-

- Budget for operational support and schemes.
- Grants SRB, LTP and Objective 1
- LPSA funding for BV 96, BV 99 (stats 19), and BV 109
- Planning Delivery Grant which is also supported by the Pendleton assessment concerning electronic access to planning and we now satisfy 20 out of 21 maximum points required, reference to BV 205. Once online payment for planning applications is achieved Rotherham will have the maximum of 21 points.
- Funding for BV 109 Access to public buildings for disabled people.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

Links to:- The Councils Golden Thread

- Political Priorities (Councils themes)
- Community Plan
- Corporate Plan
- Local Authority Agreement
- LPSA
- Service Plans and the services that will carry out the performance agenda.

The performance information contained in this report was presented to the Programme Area Management Team (PMT) on 23 November 2006 and analysed in accordance with the Councils Corporate Priorities.

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Reports includes

Appendix A, the fourth quarter results of the EDS suite of performance indicators
Appendix B, the fourth quarter performance indicator summary report.

Contact Name:

Alan Platt
Best Value Officer
Telephone 01709 83 2901
[Alan.platt@rotherham](mailto:Alan.platt@rotherham.gov.uk) .gov.uk

**Performance News and Second
Quarter kpi Summary
2006/2007**

**Economic and Development Services
Exception Report Appendix B**

Contents page

Performance against targets, Q2 results.

Indicators by theme.....p3+4+5+6

Performance direction against the previous quarter.....p7

Comparing performance against the All England index..p7+8

National PI Surveys.....p8

Audit Commission/ KPMG Inspection..... p8+9

PI Summary.....p10

New performance measures.....p10

LPSA.....p 10

Performance Plus.....p 11

Economic & Development Services PI Performance – 2006/2007

This report sets out the second quarter performance results (1 April to 30 September) for Economic and Development Services (EDS). In total, 82 EDS PI's and their component parts are included in the suite of indicators but 69 elements have been reported. These PI's have been monitored against agreed targets and they are aligned to the Council's priorities.

This section shows indicators that have not achieved their set target. (Performance period 1 April 2006 to 30 September 2006)

Number of indicators reported 69.

Number of indicators that have achieved their target and in the **green** zone is 52 (75%), this is a good result.

Number of indicators that that are slightly out side their target and in the **amber** zone is 11 (13%); indicators in this category are slightly below their target.

Number of indicators that have not achieved their target and in the **red** zone is 8 (12%), merits will be outlined in this report.

The PI's not achieving their target in quarter 2 of 2006/2007 are:-

Rotherham Safe

Asset Management

- **BV 156** Buildings Accessible to people who have a disability.
PI Lead Officer – Arnold Murray and Stuart Carr
Access Officer Eric Stowe

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
53.09%	60.00%	53.09%	60.00%	75.14%	N/A

No improvements have been carried out on properties as funding support of £200,000 has not yet been approved. A programme of works has been prepared and is now dependant on funding approval being granted from the Regeneration and Asset Board.

- **LPI 31** Informing the HSE of reportable injuries and dangerous occurrences within 10 working days.

LPI Lead Officer – Alan Matthews and John Stapleton

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.1.	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
85%	100%	85%	92%	98%	100%	100%

The second quarter has produced a great improvement. Of 40 incidents reported 3 were later.

Achieving

- **LPI 24** Revenue running cost of floor space per m²

LPI Lead Officer – Stuart Carr and Arnold Murray

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
£22.29 m ²	£22.29 m ²	£16.22 m ²		N/A	N/A

The method of calculating this PI has changed to the COPROP method. Insurance costs have not been included yet and when they introduced the outturn is expected to increase.

Streetpride

- **BV 199a** Local street and environmental cleanliness – Litter and Detritus.

PI Lead Officer – Andy Shaw and Chris Hayes

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
8%	7%	9%	9%	11%	N/A

The second quarter result has not achieved the target of 7%, but is still in the Audit Commissions top quartile position. The projected outturn has been increased to 9%.

The target of 7% is very stretching but performance still remains within the All England top quartile position.

- **BV 199b** Local street and environmental cleanliness – unacceptable levels of graffiti
PI Lead Officer – Andy Shaw and Chris Hayes

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
3%	3%	4%	3%	N/A	N/A

The first and second quarter results did not achieved the target of 3%. However, the LAA target is being achieved with the number of incidents reduced by 40% compared with the baseline (2004/05) and it is still anticipated that the target for BV199b may be met.

- **BV 199d** Local street and environmental cleanliness – Fly-tipping, the year on year reduction in the total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with fly-tipping.

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
2 - effective	2 - effective	poor	good	N/A	N/A

Whilst the number of fly tipping incidents has decreased in line with LAA target, this year a weighting for size has been introduced which has seen an overall weighted 2% increase. Weighted enforcement activity is 36% lower after 6 months mainly due to a hiatus caused by the move from FPNs to prosecutions.

- **BV 187** Condition of surface footway i.e. percentage of category 1, 1a and 2 footway network where structural maintenance should be considered.

PI Lead Officer – Bob Stock and David Cooper

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
45.3%	43%	45.02%	43%	16.0%	N/A

We expect to meet the target; works undertaken this year have not yet been reflected in the target.

- **BV 224b** Condition of unclassified roads i.e. the percentage of the road network where structural maintenance should be considered.

PI Lead Officer – Bob Stock and David Cooper

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
7.76%	8.00%	8.79%	8.00%	N/A	N/A

Due to the level of funding this part of the network is deteriorating and there is a risk that the target will not be met, although currently upper quartile as All England Top Quartile is 10.6%. This accounts for approx 66% of our network.

- **BV 215b** Rectification of street lighting faults measured in days - Distribution Network Organisation (DNO)

PI Lead Officer – Howard Webb and Alan Lewis

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target
8.07	8	10.02	8	N/A	N/A

This performance measure was set – up in conjunction with Yorkshire Electricity and performance monitoring issues are discussed with them at regular liaison meetings. Worsening in 2nd quarter and cumulative figure is now 9.34 days compared to our regional service level agreement of 10 days. Our desire for improved performance to be discussed at liaison meeting with YEDL.

Rotherham Achieving

Planning and Transportation

- **BV 205** The local Authority's score against the quality planning services checklist.

PI Lead Officer – Karl Battersby and Bronwen Peace.

Actual 05/06	Target 06/07	Q.2.	Projected OT	TQ	Gov Target by
94.40%	100%	94.4%	100%	88.90%	N/A

The Pendleton criteria score for the authority is 20 out of 21 points. To achieve full points we need to have the payment of planning application fees online. Phil Reynders has placed a change request with RBT to enable the use of a planning portal URL to be created and tested CIVICA (RADIUS). We are now depended on RBT to enable a full score of 21 points.

Performance Direction against the previous quarter

Generally the direction of travel is improving, except for:-

BV 99b	Road accident casualties: KSI children.
BV 99c	Road accident casualties: slight injury
BV 187	Condition of footway.
BV 215b	Rectification of street lighting faults DNO
LPI 29	Working days lost from work related injuries and ill health (including stress) injuries only.
LPI 24	Revenue cost of floor space per m ²

Comparing Performance

In the second quarter the following information shows how we compare with the All England Local Authorities PI's. This uses the data and quartile sets for 2004/5 released by the Audit Commission in January 2006.

CPA Environment Block (PI's – All England)

All England -

Top Quartile

- BV 99 Road accident casualties: KSI all people.
- BV 99 Road accident casualties KSI children
- BV100 Temp road closures
- BV 109a Major planning applications
- BV 109b Minor planning applications
- BV 109c Other planning applications
- BV 199a Removal of litter and detritus
- BV 165 Pedestrian crossings equipped for the disabled
- BV 178 Foot paths and public rights of way
- BV 204 Planning appeals.
- BV 205 Quality of the planning service (Pendleton)
- BV 179 Standard planning searches within 10 days.

Median Quartile

- BV 99 Road accident casualties – Slight injuries.

Bottom Quartile

BV 187 Condition of surface footway and
BV 106 New homes built on previously developed land
BV 156 Access to buildings for disabled people.

Economic & Development Services PI Performance Survey 2006/07

EDS is involved in BVPI user satisfaction surveys this year. A time table has been established by the DCLG. Basically fieldwork commenced on the 1 September 2006 and verification deadlines are 5 January 2007 for CPA data and non CPA data 28 February 2007 for the general survey.

The General Survey is being be carried out by MORI and the EDS indicators are:

- BV 89 Satisfaction with borough cleanliness.
- BV 103 Satisfaction with transport information.
- BV 104 Satisfaction with bus services.
- BV 3 Overall satisfaction with the Council - Corporate Health
- BV 4 Satisfaction with complaint handling - Corporate Health

Funding by CEO.

Chris White and Associates (CWA) has been commission by us to carry out the Planning Survey BV 111

We are at the stage where 690 questionnaires have been sent out to planning agents and applicants during October 06.
Funding by Planning and Transportation

Audit Commission performance inspection 2005/2006 was carried out by KPMG as follows.

Indicators that were inspected by KPMG during August 2006:-

BV 165 – % Pedestrian Crossings with facilities for disabled people

KPMG report, no issues – this demonstrates excellent practice.
The Audit Commission selected this indicator due to general concerns about the accuracy of council's outturns nationally.

BV 109 a, b, c – Planning Applications

- a) Major Applications
- b) Minor Applications
- c) Other applications – minor amendment

BV109 – Planning speed – Results from the draft KPMG report	
Test	Issue
<p><u>Accuracy of PI calculation</u></p> <p>Year end application list (manual list) used to recalculate PI for Major and Minor and Other applications. Then compared to the PS2 reports, produced automatically via the Innogistic Fast planning software (on which the PI calculation submitted is based).</p>	<p>'Other' applications recalculated at 79.3% (stated as 80.17%) due to 15 applications incorrectly classed as less than 8 weeks when actually >8weeks. PI over stated by 0.87%</p> <p>Actions:</p> <p>An amendment will be placed in the 2006/2007 Performance Plan</p> <p>We need to reconcile planning applications with INNOGISTIC.</p>
<p>Testing of data between planning files and system</p>	<p>1 'minor' application file not available for testing as had been sent for microfilming. 1 'other' file off-site being used for training.</p> <p>Actions:</p> <p>Planning files taken from their wallets must be accounted for. NB: This is second time files have been unaccounted for during a KPMG audit.</p>

Both PI's passed the audit without receiving a qualification.

Economic & Development Services PI Performance Summary– Q 2

In general the performance indicators are performing well against set targets.
We need to improve:-

BV 156	Disabled access to public buildings. This indicator met the 2005/06 target but additional funding c£200,000 is required to meet this year's target.
BV 187	Condition of surface footway
BV 199a	Local environmental cleanliness – Litter and Detritus
BV 199b	Local environmental cleanliness – Graffiti
BV 205	Quality of planning services checklist
BV 215b	Rectification of street lighting DNO
LPI 31	Reporting Industrial Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences (RIDDOR) to HSE
LPI	Vacancy rates Commercial Property and Town Centre Premises.
LPI 24	Revenue running cost of floor space per m ² .

New performance measures

We need to establish:-

Public building gas and electricity results in kwh/m²

With the loss of BV 180 the consumption of electricity and gas/fossil fuels from the suite of PI's, (David Rhodes and Steve Cope) we need to replace it with an alternative performance measure. Therefore in January 2007 we will launch a new LPI to measure our energy performance in Rotherhams operational buildings

Performance measure:

- The Environmental team will produce the 1April – 31 December 2006 Performance Report in Q3.
- Public buildings measuring gas and electricity consumption in kwh/m²
- Invoice data will be used but this will progress onto meter readings.

Local Public Service Agreement (LPSA)

LPSA 4 – Improving Rotherham’s overall Employment Rate

Internal Audit will recommend that the claim for the Reward Grant is submitted to the Audit Commission for £529,430.88

LPSA 7 – Reduce the number of KSI on Rotherham’s Roads

This LPSA has not yet been signed of by Internal Audit. A letter explaining our case is to be sent to the Audit Commission who will be asked if we are entitled to the reward grant of £529,430.88.

Performance Plus

Progress report:

- RiDo now has access to Performance Plus and their officers involved in producing performance information now have the capacity to input data. Head of Service Richard Poundford has had P+ set up on his computer.
- Steetpride is scheduled to have their officers trained and set up to input their performance data into P+ during November/ December 06
- In January 2007 I aim to provide Asset Management and Planning and Transportation with P+.

Projects (Equalities Risk Management and Service Planning)

- Developing a Corporate performance management system for **equalities**. Support in piloting the project will be sort from EDS. Implementation is intended for Q1 2007/2008.
- Development of a Corporate Risk Management reporting process for the (CRMT). This will be performance managed by Q1 2007/2008.
- Service Plans for 2007/2008 need to be produced, technical support and guidance will be provided.

Alan Platt KPI Q2 Report.doc April - Sept 2006

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn		Rating	Comments	
BV096 (i)	Condition Of Principal Roads (CVI)	Transport	Bob Stock	The Percentage of the principal road network (A roads) in need of major strengthening. (Visual Inspection)	N/A												Superseded by new PI
BV099a (i)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI all people	Transport	Stuart Savage	Number of People killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions.	92.00	2005 93	28	16			44	2006 112	88	Yes	😊	This part of the indicator has performed well in 2005 with a figure of 93 being achieved against a target of 113. This is partly due to key actions in the LPSA bid coming on stream in 2005 such as additional police enforcement and the installation of speed activated signs at accident hotspots. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebase lined on the 2001 - 2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. If the performance in Q1 and Q2 is maintained the projected outturn for 2006 will meet the target.	
BV099a (ii)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI all people	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the previous year.	-13.40	-38.0%											
BV099a (iii)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI all people	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of people killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average.	-32.49	-38.8%											
BV099b (i)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI children	Transport	Stuart Savage	Number of children (aged under 16 years) killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions.	12.00	19	2	4			6	2006 17	12	Yes	😊	The child KSI fig for 2005 is 19 which is below the target of 26. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebase lined on the 2001 - 2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. Based on the child KSI figures from Q1 and Q2 this revised target should be met.	
BV099b (ii)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI children	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of children (aged under 16 years) killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the previous year.	-28.10	-9.50%											
BV099b (iii)	Road Accident Casualties: KSI children	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of children killed or seriously injured (KSI) in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average.	-53.13	-47.20%											
BV099c (i)	Road Accident Casualties: Slight Injuries	Transport	Stuart Savage	Number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions.	721.00	1103	242	277			525	2006 1195	1050	Yes	😊	This number of SI's reduced to 1103 in 2005 from 1195 in 2004 but the outturn figure was still slightly above the target of 1068. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebase lined on the 2001 -2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. Results from Q1 and Q2 suggest SI's will continue to fall in 2006 and the target for this category will be met.	
BV099c (ii)	Road Accident Casualties: Slight Injuries	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of people slightly injured in the road traffic collisions since the previous year.	-8.03	-7.70%											
BV099c (iii)	Road Accident Casualties: Slight Injuries	Transport	Stuart Savage	Percentage change in the number of people slightly injured in road traffic collisions since the 1994-98 average.	-14.41	-2.40%											
BV100	Temporary Road Closures	Transport	Andrew Rowley	Number of days of temporary traffic controls, or road closure, on traffic sensitive roads, caused by road works, per km of traffic sensitive road.	0.10	0	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.02	0.02	Yes	😊	On target	

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn		Rating	Comments
BV106	New Homes on Previously Developed Land	Planning	Nick Ward	Percentage of new homes built on previously developed land.	94.00%	51.09%	67.77%	79.71%			74.13%	55.00%	60.00%	Yes	😊	Cabinet approved and recommended a policy of having a presumption against the granting of planning permission for residential development on "Greenfield" land. By removing the option of developing on Greenfield sites, the presumption against greenfield development, along with previous controls on the release of greenfield sites, has increased the PDL or "Brownfield" percentage for this quarter. This, however, has been at the expense of the overall completion rate, which we must maintain over the long term. Planning permission for several major brownfield have been granted recently and the completion rate should improve without a detrimental effect on the PDL percentage. Review of housing policies in the Local Development Framework will allow a reassessment of allocations to address this issue in the longer term.
BV109a	Planning Applications: Major Applications	Planning	Karl Battersby/ Bronwen Peace	Percentage of major applications determined within 13 weeks.	69.01%	64.20%	75.00%	72.72%			73.81%	65.00%	65.00%	Yes	😊	Above target
BV109b	Planning Applications: Minor applications	Planning	Karl Battersby/ Bronwen Peace	Percentage of minor applications determined within 8 weeks.	75.40%	67.66%	79.41%	75.49%			77.45%	70.00%	70.00%	Yes	😊	Above target
BV109c	Planning Applications: 'Other' applications	Planning	Karl Battersby /Bronwen Peace	Percentage of 'other' applications determined within 8 weeks.	88%	80.17%	92.20%	91.32%			91.77%	88.00%	88.00%	Yes	😊	Above target
BV156	Buildings Accessible to People with a Disability	Corporate Health	Stuart Carr/ Eric Stowe	The Percentage of Authority buildings open to the public in which all public areas are suitable for, and accessible to, disabled people.	75.14%	53.09%	53.09%	53.09%			0.00%	60.00%	60.00%	No	😞	No improvements have been carried out on properties yet as funding support of £200,000 has not yet been approved. A programme of works has been prepared and subject to funding and approval from Regeneration & Asset board we are ready to proceed.
BV165	Pedestrian Crossings with Facilities for Disabled People	Transport	Mick Powell	The percentage of pedestrian crossings with facilities for disabled people, as a proportion of all crossings in the local authority area.	100.0%	100%	100.00%	100.0%				100.0%		Yes	😊	Crossings are complete
BV178	Footpaths and Rights of Way Easy to Use by the Public	Transport	Bob Stock	The percentage of the total length of rights of way in the local authority area, that are easy to use by the general public.	87.0%	93.50%	94.00%	96.30%			96.00%	95%	95.00%	Yes	😊	Expected to meet target
BV179	Standard Searches within 10 Days	Planning	Karl Battersby/ Phil Reynders	The Percentage of standard searches carried out within 10 working days.	100%	99.92%	99.94%	100.00%			100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	😊	On target
BV187	Condition of Surface Footway	Transport	Bob Stock	Percentage of the category 1, 1a and 2 footway network where structural maintenance should be considered.	16.0%	45.30%	44.8%	45.02%			45.02%	43.00%	43.00%	No	☹️	Expected to meet target - works undertaken this year not yet being reflected within the PI.
BV199a	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Litter & Detritus	Waste and Cleanliness	Chris Hayes	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) that is assessed as having combined deposits of litter and detritus that fall below and acceptable level.	11.00%	8%	9%	9%			9%	7%	9.00%	No	😞	The target is very stretching and performance remains in the top quartile. LPI 3 shows a high performance for street cleanliness.
BV199b	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Graffiti	Waste and Cleanliness	Chris Hayes	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of graffiti are visible	N/A	3%	4%	4%			4%	3%	3.00%	No	😞	This is only one measure of graffiti and currently the LAA target of 40% reduction in incidents is being met.
BV199c	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Fly-posting	Waste and Cleanliness	Chris Hayes	The proportion of relevant land and highways (expressed as a percentage) from which unacceptable levels of fly-posting are visible	N/A	0%	0%	0%			0%	0.50%	0.50%	Yes	😊	Performance is on target

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn		Rating	Comments
BV199d	Local Street and Environmental Cleanliness - Fly-tipping.	Waste and Cleanliness	Chris Hayes	The year-on-year reduction in total number of incidents and increase in total number of enforcement actions taken to deal with 'fly-tipping'.	N/A	2 - Effective	2 - Effective	Poor			Poor	2 effective	Good	No	☹️	Whilst the number of fly tipping incidents has decreased in line with LAA target, this year a weighting for size has been introduced which has seen an overall weighted 2% increase. Weighted enforcement activity is 36% lower after 6 months mainly due to a hiatus caused by the move from FPNs to prosecutions.
BV200a	Plan-making: Development Plan	Planning	Phil Turnidge	Did the local planning authority submit the Local Development Scheme (LDS) by 28th march 2005 and thereafter maintain a 3-year rolling programme?	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	😊	Timely submission of the LDS as a 3 year programme project plan
BV200b	Plan-making: Milestones	Planning	Phil Turnage	Has the local planning authority met the milestones which the current Local Development Scheme (LDS) sets out?	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	😊	Achievement of the LDS milestones
BV200c	Plan-making: Monitoring Report	Planning	Phil Turnage	Did the local planning authority publish an annual monitoring report by 31st of December each year?	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	😊	Timely publication of Annual Monitoring Report
BV204	Planning Appeals	Planning	Karl Battersby/Bronwen Peace	The number of planning appeal decisions allowed against the Authority's decision to refuse on planning applications, as a percentage of the total number of planning appeals against refusals of planning applications	25%	26.50%	50.00%	16.67%			25%	26%	26%	Yes	😊	On target
BV205	Quality of Planning Services Checklist	Planning	Karl Battersby/Bronwen Peace	The local Authority's score against a 'quality of planning services' checklist.	88.90%	94.4%	94.4%	94.4%			94.4%	100.0%	100.0%	No	☹️	The Pendleton criteria score for the authority has been improved to 20 out of 21 for this quarter however until we reach the target 21/21 the percentage will not increase due to the way the PI is scored..
BV215a	Rectification of Street Lighting faults: non DNO	Transport	Howard Webb/Alan Lewis	The average number of days taken to repair a street lighting fault, which is under the control of the local authority	N/A	3.46	2.86	2.91			2.88	3.40	3.4	Yes	😊	On target
BV215b	Rectification of Street Lighting Faults: Distribution Network Organisation DNO	Transport	Howard Webb/Alan Lewis	The average time taken to repair a street lighting fault, where response time is under the control of a DNO.	N/A	8.07	8.75	10.02			9.34	8.00	8.00	No	☹️	Yorkshire Electricity Distribution Ltd carry out street lighting repairs. A steering group measures the performance and efficiency. 2nd Quarter figures increased the average to 9.34 days which is within our regional service level agreement of 10 days. Our concerns re worsening response time to be raised at meeting with YEDL to facilitate a desired improvement.
BV218a	Abandoned Vehicles	Environment & Environmental Health	Bob Stock	Percentage of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24hrs of notification	N/A	99.30%	98.70%	99.30%			99.00%	98%	98.00%	Yes	😊	On target
BV218b	Abandoned Vehicles - removal	Environment & Environmental Health	Bob Stock	Percentage of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point at which the Authority is legally entitled to remove the vehicle.	N/A	99.3%	100.00%	100.00%			100.00%	96.00%	97.0%	Yes	😊	On target
BV219a	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas	Culture and Related Services	Peter Thornborrow	Total number of conservation areas in the local Authority area.	N/A	25	25	26				24	26	Yes	😊	One new conservation area designated in June '06 (Doncaster Road, Eastwood). Previously we anticipated removing a CA, but
BV219b	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas: Character Appraisals	Culture and Related Services	Peter Thornborrow	Percentage of conservation areas in the local Authority area with an up-to-date character appraisal.	N/A	100.00%	100.00%	96.15%				100.00%	96.15%	Yes	😊	The new CA has yet to have an appraisal carried out. This is scheduled for 2nd quarter '07
BV219c	Preserving the Special Character of Conservation Areas: Management Proposals	Culture and Related Services	Peter Thornborrow	Percentage of conservation areas with published management proposals.	N/A	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%				8.00%	4.00%	Yes	😊	Any further improvement is dependent on appointment of Assistant Conservation Officer
BV223	Condition of Principal Roads	Transport	Bob Stock	Percentage of the authority principal road network where structural maintenance should be considered.		7.00%	7.0%	7.0%			7.0%	7.0%	7.0%	Yes	😊	Annual Survey
BV224a	Condition of Non-Principal Classified Roads	Transport	Bob Stock	Percentage of the non-principal classified road network where maintenance should be considered.		16.00%	16.00%	16.00%			16.00%	16.00%	16.00%	Yes	😊	Annual Survey
BV224b	Condition of Unclassified Roads	Transport	Bob Stock	Percentage of the unclassified road network where structural maintenance should be considered.	10.6%	7.76%	7.91%	8.79%			8.79%	8.00%	8.00%	No	☹️	Due to level of funding there appears to be a risk that the target will not be met as the network deteriorates although currently upper quartile condition.

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn	Yes	Rating	Comments
LPI 1a	Improve Rotherham's overall employment rate		Neil Rainsforth	Gap between Rotherham and the national average in terms of working age population in employment. (taken as an 8 quarter average)		0.50%	0.40%	-0.30%				GB Ave	0.00%	Yes	😊	Annual population survey from ONS - data should be release 6 months in arrears Rotherham is showing an employment rate 0.3% above the National average for the quarter ending December 2005 (74.8% against GB average of 74.5%).
LPI 1b	Improve Rotherham's overall employment rate		Neil Rainsforth	Gap between Rotherham and the national average in terms of working age population in employment. (taken as a 4 quarter average)		0.50%										LPSA target which ends in 2006 - measured on last 4 quarters average under old Labour Force Survey. See above re changes to measurement (New Annual Population Survey) - now measured under same system as local indicator above and comfortably exceeding target.
LPI 2	Economic inactivity rate		Neil Rainsforth	Economic inactivity calculated as a percentage of the working population.		23.00%	22.50%	22.10%			22.50%	22.80%	22.80%	Yes	😊	See above re change of measurement - under new survey Rotherham is 0.9% above national average for quarter ended September 2005 but on target. However it must be remembered that all labour market information is subject to variance caused by sample size of the survey so fluctuations between quarters are to be expected.
LPI 3	Highway Cleanliness		Chris Hayes	The percentage of highways that are either of a high or acceptable standard of cleanliness.		97%	99.10%	99.70%			99.50%	96.75%	97.50%	Yes	😊	It is recommended that this LPI is removed as it has been superseded by BVPI 199.
LPI 4	CO2 emissions for operational property		Arnold Murray	CO2 emissions in tonnes of carbon dioxide per m². with the m² used of operational properties, markets and public toilets.												
LPI 5	Removal of Fly-tipping		Chris Hayes	Average time taken to remove fly-tips (days)		0.53	0.46	0.43			0.45	1 day	0.75	Yes	😊	Performance is on target
LPI 9			Alan Matthews	Staff Training for the Borough Emergency plan.												An emergency planning calendar of training events for 2006 has been produced covering raising awareness of emergency planning and training all key officers listed in the Borough Emergency Plan.
LPI 10			Alan Matthews	Preparedness - Ensure that the programme Areas are prepared to respond to a major incident in accordance with the Borough Emergency Plan.												The annual audit of each Programme Area will take place in April/May 2006 to ensure that their plans and response arrangements to a Major Incident are in place.
LPI 11			Alan Matthews	Assessment and preparations of the risks which would give rise to an emergency response (BEP)												The South Yorkshire Community Risk Register has been produced and approved by the Local Resilience Forum and published on the South Yorkshire Police website. The Rotherham Community Risk Register is in production and will link into the South Yorkshire Risk Register to identify which risks are to be planned for. The Risk Registers will be updated every year as new risks are identified. Local risks are currently identified in Section 8 of the Borough Emergency Plan.
LPI 12			Howard Webb/Alan L	Percentage of street lamps not working as planned. (At any one time throughout the year.)		0.88%	0.92%	1.07%			0.96%	<1.0%	<1.0%	No	😞	On target but we may experience a higher failure rate during the winter months
LPI 13 OLD	BV188		Karl Battersby	The number of planning decisions delegated to Officers as a percentage of all planning decisions.			89.10%	91.65			90.38%	90%	90%	Yes	😊	On target
LPI 14a	Land Search Breakdown		Phil Reynders	Electronic searches		11.60%	10.80%	10.52%			10.58%	30%	13%	No	😞	Take up of Electronic (NLIS) Searches slower than expected
LPI 14b	Land Search Breakdown		Phil Reynders	Manual searches		50.50%	49.40%	48.96%			49.23%	30%	47%	No	😞	Loss of conventional business to Personal Search Companies - proposed switch to NLIS Level 3 and increased automation may reverse trends and reduce staffing pressure
LPI 14c	Land Search Breakdown		Phil Reynders	LLC1 Only searches		37.90%	39.80%	40.51%			40.18%	40%	40%	No	😞	Variance due to increasing use of Personal Search companies by conveyances
LPI 15a	Search Turnaround		Phil Reynders	NLIS carried out in less than 10 days.		97.83%	99.90%	100.00%			100.00%	100%	100%	No	😞	Good performance

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn	Rating	Comments	
LPI 15b	Search Turnaround		Phil Reynders	Non NLIS carried out in less than 10 days.		98.20%	99.36%	99.92%			99.96%	100%	100%	No	☹️	Good performance
LPI 15c	Search Turnaround		Phil Reynders	LLC1 carried out in less than 10 days.		98.90%	99.21%	100.00%			99.95%	100%	100%	No	☹️	Good performance
LPI 16			Bob Stock	Winter Maintenance routes gritted within allocated time.		97.8	N/A	N/A				98%	98%			
LPI 17			Chris Hayes	Highway inspections achieved		99.60%	100.00%	99.70%			99.90%	99.50%	99.50%	Yes	😊	Performance is on target
LPI 18			Chris Hayes	Damage to roads and pavements. The percentage of reported dangerous defects (e.g. potholes) in roads and footways that have been repaired within 24 hours.		99%	99.80%	99.10%			99.50%	98%	98.50%	Yes	😊	Performance is on target
LPI 20			Andrew Rowley	Percentage of chargeable inspections of undertaker's work achieved.		100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	Yes	😊	On target
LPI 21			Chris Hayes	Total number of actionable defects on roads and pavements per 100 kilometres of network inspected.		118.2	127.4	114.1			123.9	130	130.0	Yes	😊	Performance is on target
LPI 22a	Average reception waiting time		Graham Clark	Percentage of visitors with appointments seen within 10 minutes.		95%	100%	100%			100%	95%	100%	Yes	😊	Above target
LPI 22b	Average reception waiting time		Graham Clark	Percentage of visitors without appointments seen within 10 minutes.		95.00%	50%	97%			95%	95%	95.00%	Yes	😊	Back on target
LPI 23			Graham Clark	Percentage of Customer complaints replied to within 10 days		78%	64.70%	100.00%			79.23%	100%	90%	Yes	😊	All complaints from members of the public were dealt with on time.
LPI 24			Arnold Murray	Revenue running costs of floor space per m².		£22.29	£4.74	£16.22				22.29m2		No	☹️	We have reviewed the methodology in how the indicator is calculated. We now have a consistent approach across the authorities buildings based upon the Coprop method. The figure for the second quarter does not include insurance costs. The costs may exceed the target year end figures.
LPI 25			Arnold Murray	Consumption in kWh/m² Operational Property, markets and public toilets.												Energy data collection currently undergoing process reengineering to ensure that data reported for Performance indicators is as accurate as we can achieve. Measures useful in monitoring RMBC property asset utility usage and performance are to be developed at the conclusion of this project. These will be in place to allow us to accurately
LPI 26			Arnold Murray	Consumption in litres/m² Operational Property, markets and public toilets.												
LPI 27			Arnold Murray	Percentage of gross floor-space classified as good satisfactory categories A-B		71%	71%	72%			72%	72%		Yes	😊	The programme of stock condition surveys is being carried out with a target of 1/3 of all properties to be surveyed each year. This survey together with the investment within the maintenance capital programme which has been introduced this year should ensure that the condition of the properties within the A & B category is improved.
LPI 28			Bob Stock	The number of reports received of blocked gullies per 1000 gullies.		3.65	1.17	2.52				5	5	Yes	😊	Close to target. Four intense summer storms has resulted in an increase in the number of reports.
LPI 29			John Stapleton	Working days lost from work related injuries and ill health (including stress) injuries only		1607	351	477			828	1580	1580	No	☹️	The figure for the 2nd quarter only includes July and August as September figures have not been received from HR & Payroll
LPI 30			John Stapleton	Incidents rate fatal and major accidents. National Performance target is for a reduction by 10% by 2010.		23	3	2			5	22	22	Yes	😊	Within the set target
LPI 31			John Stapleton	Informing the HSE of reportable injuries and dangerous occurrences within 10 working days as a percentage of the total.		85%	85%	92%			91%	100%	100%	No	☹️	During the 2nd quarter 40 incident reported of which 3 were late
LPI 32			Karl Battersby	Planning cost per head of population												
LPI 33			Chris Hayes	The cost per square kilometre of keeping relevant land and relevant highways for which the local authority is responsible, clear of litter and refuse.		£62,239	£15,559	£15,559			£31,118	£63,795		Yes	😊	Figures for each quarter are shown as final total/4 as actual expenditure cannot be calculated until year end.

Economic and Development Services

Appendix 'A' 2006/2007 Q1 and Q2

Indicator number	Indicator title	BV Theme	Officer Responsible	Description	Top Quartile	05/06 Actual	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	cuml	Year End Target	Projected Outturn	Rating	Comments	
LPI 34			Chris Hayes	Cost per square kilometre of keeping highways for which the local authority is responsible, clear of litter.		£155,824	£38,956	£38,956			£77,912	£159,720		Yes	😊	Figures for each quarter are shown as final total/4 as actual expenditure cannot be calculated until year end.
LPI 35a			Arnold Murray	Number of properties entering the property bank												The total number of properties in the land & property bank is 158.
LPI 35b			Arnold Murray	Number of properties exiting the property bank.												
LPI 36			Chris Hayes	Net Spend per head of population on street cleaning.		£7.67	£1.92	£1.92			£3.84	£7.71		Yes	😊	Figures for each quarter are shown as final total/4 as actual expenditure cannot be calculated until year end.
LPI 37			Arnold Murray	Number of property assets reviewed against a checklist of 31 criteria.												
LPI 38	Vacancy rate for Industrial and Commercial Property		Neil Rainsforth	Vacancy rate for Industrial and Commercial Property (percentage of total floorspace vacant)		12.0%	Annual indicator					8.0%				Large amount of new build during the year (some speculative) and evidence that some companies are relocating to newer premises, with older property less desirable. Previous 3 years has seen rates of around 10% but long-term aim is to maintain a vacancy rate of 8%.
LPI 39	Vacancy rate of Rotherham Town Centre only premises		Neil Rainsforth	Vacancy rate of Rotherham Town Centre only premises (number of vacant units)		13.0%	Annual indicator					9.0%				Increase of 12 vacant units from previous year. Aim is to maintain a vacancy rate of 9%.
LPI 40	Vacancy rate of Town Centre premises		Neil Rainsforth	Vacancy rate of Town Centre premises (number of vacant units across all borough centres)		9.2%	Annual indicator					7.0%				Increase of 7 vacant units across borough in addition to Rotherham increase. Aim is to maintain a vacancy rate of 7%.
LPI ??	All footways condition		Bob Stock	Percentage of footway network needing major repairs		New	10.44%	8.86%				N/A	New	New	New	New indicator. Outturn will set benchmark for future performance. Development on this PI is still being undertaken. The figure only includes 90% of the network currently and so could change.

EDS Quarterly view									
Name of Measure	05/06 actual	Previous years top quartile	Quarter 1 performance	Quarter 1 performance rating	Quarter 2 performance	Quarter 2 performance rating	Direction in change of value	06/07 year end target	Latest Comments
BV099ai.05 No. Rd Acc. Cas: KSI All	93.00	92.00	28.00	★	44.00	★	↔	112.00	This part of the indicator has performed well in 2005 with a figure of 93 being achieved against a target of 113. This is partly due to key actions in the LPSA bid coming on stream in 2005 such as additional police enforcement and the installation of speed activated signs at accident hotspots. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebaselined on the 2001 -2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. If the performance in Q1 and Q2 is maintained the projected outturn for 2006 will meet the target.
+BV099aii.05 % Change: KSI All	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
+BV099aiii.05 % Change: 1994-98 KSI All	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
BV099bi.05 No. Rd Acc. Cas: KSI Child	19.00	12.00	2.00	★	6.00	★	↔	17.00	The child KSI fig for 2005 is 19 which is below the target of 26. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebaselined on the 2001 - 2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. Based on the child KSI figures from Q1 and Q2 this revised target should be met.
+BV099bii.05 % Change: KSI Child	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
+BV099biii.05 % Change 1994-98: KSI Child	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
BV099ci.05 No. Rd Acc. Cas: Slight Inj.	1103.00	721.00	242.00	★	525.00	★	↔	1195.00	This number of SI's reduced to 1103 in 2005 from 1195 in 2004 but the outturn figure was still slightly above the target of 1068. The targets from 2006 onwards have been rebaselined on the 2001 - 2004 average rather than the 1994 -1998 average used previously. Results from Q1 and Q2 suggest SI's will continue to fall in 2006 and the target for this category will be met.
+BV099cii.05 % Change: Slight Inj.	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
+BV099ciii.05 %Change 1994-98:Slight Inj.	?	?	?	↔	?	↔	↔	?	
+xBV100 Temp traffic controls / closure	0.00	0.10	0.00	★	0.00	★	▬	0.02	
BV106 % New homes built on 'brownfield'	51.09	93.50	67.77	★	74.13	★	↕	55.00	Cabinet approved that recommended a policy of having a presumption against the granting of planning permission for residential development on "Greenfield" land. By removing the option of developing on Greenfield sites, the presumption against greenfield development, along with previous controls on the release of greenfield sites, has increased the PDL or "Brownfield" percentage for this quarter. This, however, has been at the expense of the overall completion rate, which we must maintain over the long term. Planning permission for several major brownfield have been granted recently and the completion rate should improve without a detrimental effect on th PDL percentage. Review of housing policies in the Local Development Framework will allow a reassessment of allocations to address this issue in the longer term.
BV109a.02 % Planning apps - major	64.20	69.01	75.00	★	73.81	★	↔	65.00	Slight drop in performance from Q1, see effect on Q3.
BV109b.02 % Planning apps - minor	67.66	75.40	79.41	★	77.45	★	↔	70.00	
BV109c.02 % Planning apps - other	80.17	88.00	92.20	★	91.77	★	↔	88.00	

+	BV156 % LA public buildings - disabled	53.09	75.14	53.09	▲	53.09	▲	■	60.00	No improvements have been carried out on properties yet as funding support of £200,000 has not yet been approved. A programme of works has been prepared and subject to funding and approval for JRegeneration & Asset board we are ready to proceed.
	BV165 % Crossings adapted	100.00	100.00	100.00	●	100.00	●	■	100.00	
	BV178 % Footpaths etc. easy to use	93.50	86.80	94.00	●	96.00	●	■	95.00	
	BV179 % standard searches in 10 days	99.92	100.00	99.94	●	100.00	★	■	100.00	
+	BV187 Condition of surface footway	45.30	16.00	44.80	●	45.02	●	■	43.00	Expected to meet target - works undertaken this year not yet being reflected within the PI.
+	BV199a.05 Env. Cleanliness - Litter	8.00	?	9.00	▲	9.00	▲	■	7.00	Comment required about change in the target.
+	BV199b.05 Env. Cleanliness - Graffiti	3.00	?	4.00	▲	4.00	▲	■	3.00	This is only one measure of graffiti and this year the number of incidents are down 25%, well on the way to meeting LAA target Explain relevance to this PI instead of the LAA?
+	BV199c.05 Env. Cleanliness - Fly-Posting	0.00	?	0.00	★	0.00	★	■	0.50	
+	BV199d.05 Env. Cleanliness - Fly-Tipping	2.00	?	2.00	●	2.00	●	■	2.00	
+	BV200a.05 Plan making £ development plan	Yes	?	Yes	★	Yes	★	■	Yes	Timely submission of the LDS as a three year project plan.
+	BV200b.05 Plan making £ milestones	Yes	?	Yes	★	Yes	★	■	Yes	
+	BV200c.05 Plan making £ monitor report	Yes	?	Yes	★	Yes	★	■	Yes	
+	BV204 % Planning appeals allowed	26.50	25.00	50.00	▲	25.00	★	■	26.00	
	BV205 Quality of Service checklist	94.40	88.90	94.40	●	94.40	●	■	100.00	The Pendleton criteria score for the authority has been improved to 20 out of 21 for this quarter however until we reach the target 21/21 the percentage will not increase due to the way the PI is scored..
+	BV215a.05 Rectify Street Lights-non-DNO	3.46	?	2.86	★	2.88	★	■	3.40	What measures will used to achieve the target?
	BV215b.05 Rectify Street Lights - DNO	8.07	?	8.75	●	10.02	▲	■	8.00	Yorkshire Electricity Distribution Ltd carry out street lighting repairs. a steering group measures the performance and efficiency. July, august and September figures were all above 8 Days, increasing the average.
+	BV218a.05 Abandoned vehicles-investigate	99.30	?	98.70	●	99.00	●	■	98.00	
+	BV218b.05 Abandoned vehicles-removal	99.30	?	100.00	●	100.00	●	■	96.00	
	BV219a.05 Conservation areas £ number	25.00	?	24.00	★	26.00	●	n/a	24.00	One new conservation area designated in June '06 (Doncaster Road, Eastwood). Previously we anticipated removing a CA, but this cannot be progressed until 2007.
+	BV219b.05 Cons. Areas £ Char. Appr.	100.00	?	100.00	★	96.15	●	■	100.00	The new CA has yet to have an appraisal carried out. This is scheduled for 2nd quarter '07
+	BV219c.05 Cons. Areas £ Mngmt Plans	4.00	?	4.00	▲	4.00	▲	■	8.00	Any further improvement is dependent on appointment of Assistant Conservation Officer
+	BV223.05 % Principal Roads Maint.	7.00	?	7.00	●	7.00	●	■	7.00	
+	BV224a.05 % Non-Principal Road Maint.	16.00	?	16.00	●	16.00	●	■	16.00	
+	BV224b.05 % Unclassified Road Maint.	7.76	?	7.91	●	8.79	●	■	8.00	Their is a risk that the target will not be met as the network deteriorates.
+	EDS LPI01b Working age employment gap from national average	0.50	?	0.40	★	-0.30	★	■	1.30	Annual
+	EDS LPI02 Economic inactivity as a % of working population	23.00	?	22.50	●	22.50	●	■	22.80	
+	EDS LPI05 Average time taken to remove fly-tips (days)	0.53	?	0.46	★	0.45	★	■	1.00	
+	EDS LPI06 People killed or seriously injured on the roads measured by STATS 19.	93.00	?	0.00	●	?	?	■	?	

+ EDS LPI12 % of street lamps not working as planned.	0.88	?	0.92		0.96			1.00	
+ EDS LPI13 The number of planning decisions delegated to officers as a % of all planning decisions.	92.72	?	89.10		90.38			90.00	
+ EDS LPI14a Electronic searches	11.60	?	10.80		10.58			30.00	
+ EDS LPI14b Manual searches	50.50	?	49.40		49.23			30.00	
+ EDS LPI14c LLC1 Only searches	37.90	?	39.80		40.18			40.00	
+ EDS LPI15a NLIS carried out in less than 10 days.	97.83	?	99.90		100.00			100.00	
+ EDS LPI15b Non NLIS carried out in less than 10 days.	98.20	?	99.36		99.96			100.00	
+ EDS LPI15c LLC1 carried out in less than 10 days.	97.90	?	99.21		99.95			100.00	
+ EDS LPI16 Winter Maintenance routes gritted within allocated time.	97.80	?	0.00		0.00			98.00	
+ EDS LPI17 Highway inspections achieved	99.60	?	100.00		99.90			99.50	
+ EDS LPI18 % of reported dangerous defects in roads and footways repaired within 24 Hrs	99.10	?	99.80		99.50			98.00	
+ EDS LPI20 % of chargeable inspections of undertaker's work achieved.	100.00	?	100.00		100.00			100.00	
+ EDS LPI21 Total number of actionable defects per 100km of network inspected.	118.20	?	127.40		123.90			130.00	
+ EDS LPI24 Revenue running costs of floor space per m².	22.29	?	4.74		16.22			22.29	
+ EDS LPI27 % of gross floor-space classified as categories A-B	71.00	?	71.00		72.00			72.00	
+ EDS LPI28 The number of reports received of blocked gullies per 1000 gullies.	?	?	?		?			?	
+ EDS LPI29 Working days lost from work related injuries and ill health	1607.00	?	351.00		828.00			1580.00	
+ EDS LPI30 Incidents rate fatal and major accidents.	23.00	?	3.00		5.00			22.00	
+ EDS LPI31 Informing the HSE of reportable injuries and dangerous occurrences within 10 working days.	85.00	?	85.00		91.00			100.00	
EDS LPI32 Planning Cost per head of population	?	?	?		?			?	
+ EDS LPI33 the cost per km² of keeping relevant land and highways clear of litter and refuse.	62239.00	?	?		331118.00			63759.00	
+ EDS LPI34 cost per km² of keeping highways clear of litter.	155824.00	?	?		77912.00			159720.00	
+ EDS LPI36 Net spend per head of population on street cleaning.	7.67	?	?		?			7.71	
EDS LPI 100a No. of inward investors per annum	40.00	?	?		45.00			80.00	The definition for the collection of data for this indicator has been amended to reflect the Audit Commission Indicators. This has led to a reassessment of the baseline and targets to reflect the amount of businesses that are now excluded from the calculation that would have been included previously.
EDS LPI 101 No. of new start up businesses accommodated in Council premises	31.00	?	?		18.00			40.00	
EDS LPI 102 No. of new start up businesses safeguarded	140.00	?	?		124.00			80.00	
EDS LPI 103 Start up businesses survival rate after 3 years	89.00	?	?		90.00			80.00	

EDS LPI 104 Close the gap in average earnings between Rotherham and the UK	84.00	?	?		?			?
EDS LPI 105 Local Transport Plan Score	Fair	?	Fair		Fair			Good
EDS LPI 106 % of council buildings that are supplied by 'Green' electricity	100.00	?	100.00		100.00			100.00
EDS LPI 107 CO2 emissions from council buildings	29425.00	?	?		?			27954.00
EDS LPI 108 Satisfaction of Ethnic Minority businesses in terms of accessibility of contracts	?	?	?		?			?
EDS LPI 109 Satisfaction of Ethnic minority businesses with support in relation to business opps.	?	?	?		?			?

ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL

1.	Meeting:	Economic Regeneration & Development Cabinet Member and Advisers
2.	Date:	4th December 2006
3.	Title:	Christmas Illuminations Scrutiny Review – First Draft 25 October 2006
4.	Programme Area:	EDS

5. Summary

To present a suggested response to the Christmas Illuminations Scrutiny Review – First draft 25 October 2006, which has been proposed jointly by EDS and Culture and Leisure colleagues.

6. Recommendations

- **Members note and agree the proposed responses which have been jointly prepared by Streetpride, RiDO and Culture and Leisure Services**
- **That these responses with any amendments are forwarded on to the Regeneration Scrutiny Panel**

7. Proposals and Details

Scrutiny was undertaken of the Christmas Illuminations with the terms of reference to include:

- Examine new opportunities for equitable provision of District Christmas Trees
- Examine the perception that Christmas Illuminations are concentrated in the town centre

Officers from the Town Centre, RiDO, Streetpride and Culture and Leisure have produced the attached comments and implications as a response (Appendix A).

In principle these are supportive however, specific detail will require consideration. Members are requested to review the comments and agree / amend as necessary.

8. Finance

No direct financial implications in terms of additional budget requirements. However, some of the recommendations could potentially impact resource allocation and these have been identified in the resource column. The proposals do include seeking sponsorship which could reduce the Council contribution.

9. Risks and Uncertainties

The key risk is securing appropriate sponsorship for the lights and trees and giving full consideration to the proposals before re-contracting the current supplier.

10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

Contribution to:

- Achieving theme – vibrant town centre
- Proud theme – improving the appearance and pride in the Borough

11. Background Papers and Consultation

Christmas Illuminations Scrutiny Review – First Draft 25 October 2006

Consultation:

Tom Knight, Head of Streetpride, EDS

David Cooper, Network Manager, Streetpride, EDS

Richard Poundford, Head of RiDO, EDS

Bernadette Rushton, Assistant Town Centre Manager, EDS

Phil Rogers, Strategic Leader, Culture, Leisure and Lifelong Learning

Marie Hayes, Commercial & Promotional Services Manager, Culture, Leisure and Lifelong Learning

Contact Name:

Richard Poundford, Head of RiDO. Ext 2971

Tom Knight, Head of Streetpride. Ext 2906

Phil Rogers, Strategic Leader, Culture, Leisure and Lifelong Learning. Ext 243

Recommendations to Scrutiny Panel – Christmas Illuminations (Draft 3 15/11/06)

6.1 Town Centre Illuminations

Number	Recommendation	Resource Implications	Other Implications	General Comments
6.1.1	Christmas Trees, Illuminations, Events and Activities should be funded by the Council from a single Special Events budget.			Clarification required as to what the Special Events Budget is expected to cover, i.e. Christmas arrangements only or to include other events throughout the year. Is this specifically for the Town Centre?
6.1.2	That the Special Events Budget should be held by the Town Centre and Marketing Manager based within RIDO in the EDS Programme Area.	Should this read 'Town Centre, Tourism and Markets Manager – please clarify		
6.1.3	<p>That a Town Centre Partnership be set up to co-ordinate all Town Centre Events including the current remit of the Christmas Carnival Group. The Partnership would comprise a basis of the following members:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Town Centre and Markets Management – EDS ▪ Streetpride and technical/engineering – EDS ▪ Commercial and Promotional - C & L ▪ Neighbourhoods – Town Centre ▪ Rotherham Visitor Information Centre/Tourism ▪ Representation from the Town Centre Renaissance Team ▪ Representation for the BBC Big Screen ▪ Chamber of Commerce ▪ Town Centre Shops/Businesses/Restaurants ▪ Events and Planning Officer – SYP ▪ Rotherham SYPTE ▪ Vicar of Rotherham ▪ The Mayor ▪ Cabinet Member with responsibility for Culture 	<p>It does not seem necessary to involve all of these members as there are several groups already established which involve many, if not all of these people/businesses.</p> <p>Clarification required on the role of this proposed Partnership before membership can be agreed.</p> <p>Clarification regarding the remit of the Partnership is required since existing arrangements exist to prioritise and co-ordinate town centre events beyond Christmas.</p>		

	and Leisure			
6.1.4	The Partnership is chaired by the Town Centre, Tourism and Markets Manager.	Clarification on what the role as Chair would involve. Subject to the outcome of 6.1.3 above, this would appear to be outside the remit of the Town Centre and Markets Manager.		
6.1.5	The Town Centre Partnership will aim to reduce the total Christmas element of the Special Events Budget (20k EDS + 45k C&L) – by replacing internal expenditure with funds from sponsorship.	There is not a dedicated budget for Christmas activities. This is currently a budget for events, including Christmas.	It is not feasible to run the Christmas sponsorship initiative alongside the current roundabouts sponsorship arrangements. There are potential ramifications regarding sponsorship of Christmas trees on roundabouts where there is already a sponsorship agreement in place for that site.	We would not be comfortable asking local shops and businesses in the Town Centre to provide sponsorship. It is proposed that sponsorship is sourced from large organisations who carry out business in the Borough, e.g. RBT, Birse, Wates, Ringway etc. It is suggested that the sponsorship contracts are put out to tender on a three year basis.
6.1.6	That once formed, the Town Centre Partnership will set itself realistic targets to achieve the above objective and report these to the Regeneration Scrutiny Panel in the summer of 2007.	See comments above in 6.1.5		
6.1.7	The Partnership develops a new Christmas and festival marketing package for the Town Centre.	See comments above in 6.1.5		
6.1.8	The Partnership ensures the celebration of different religious festivals, through the use of lighting and other appropriate decoration is incorporated into the Town Centre Events programme.	Clarification required on which religious festivals should be included. This could be potentially difficult due to the high number of festivals celebrated by different religions.		

6.1.9	The review group support the use of the BBC Big Screen for the celebration of Christmas and other religious festivals.	This is supported.
-------	--	--------------------

6.2 District Illuminations

Number	Recommendation	Resource Implications	Other Implications	
6.2.1	The responsibility of District Trees and Lights transfer to each Area Assembly Co-ordinating Group	In the event that this responsibility is transferred, we would like to know exactly what their role would be.		
6.2.2	That funding for the District Christmas Illuminations is funded primarily from private sector sponsorship. However, (at the discretion of) could be supplemented in future years from the AA own budget.	See comments above in 6.2.2		
6.2.3	That support officers from Neighbourhoods and EDS/RIDO work with the co – coordinating groups to put together sponsorship packages tailored to match the requirements/economy of local areas, in addition to the provision of technical support.	See comments above in 6.1.5		
6.2.4	That the Christmas Trees at Harthill, Woodsetts and Kilnhurst Village and the Garland at Wath Town Centre are discussed as a matter of priority by the relevant Area Assembly with a view to either continuing with these sites or replacing them within the development of local sponsorship packages.	This would have an impact on the C&L budget.		
6.2.5	That Parish Council's are involved in local consultation and working groups set up by the Area Assembly Co-ordinating Group.	Please clarify the relative responsibilities between Area Assemblies and Parish Councils.		

6.3 The Gateway Initiative

Number	Recommendation	Resource Implications	Other Implications	
6.3.1	<p>The review group recommend that 7 Gateway sites are used for Christmas Trees and managed by EDS - as follows:</p> <p>Rother Valley West – Junction 31/ M1 Roundabout</p> <p>Rother Valley South – Dinnington or Anston – EDS to comment</p> <p>Wentworth North – Woodman Island – already in use for annual Xmas tree</p> <p>Wentworth South – Taylors Lane Roundabout</p> <p>Wentworth Valley – EDS to comment</p> <p>Rotherham North – Meadowbank Road – Bradgate Roundabout</p> <p>Rotherham South – East Bawtry Road – Worry Goose Roundabout</p> <p>In making these recommendations, the review group acknowledge that the sites are subject to consultation with EDS in respect of traffic management and health and safety issues.</p>		<p>Subject to Health & Safety issues this list is agreed with the exception of Rotherham South – East Bawtry Road – Worry Goose Roundabout which would be better on Rotherway Roundabout near J33/M1. Additionally, we would suggest an additional tree to be sited at J35/M1.</p>	
6.3.2	<p>The timescale for implementation of these sites should be reported to the Regeneration Panel by March 07</p>	Agreed.		
6.3.3	<p>Capital cost of approximately £650 per site to install electricity supply and base socket for trees to be met from within Gateway Improvement Plan Financial Expenditure,</p>	This is possible depending upon specific circumstances.		

6.3.4	The Council, via EDS, to take on the responsibility of developing 7 Gateway Sponsorship packages to meet the annual running costs of supply, lighting and health and safety issues and maintenance.	See comments above in 6.1.5
6.3.5	That no contingency budget for Gateway sites should be retained – every effort should be made to acquire sponsorship for each of the 7 sites.	Agreed.

6.4 General Recommendations

Number	Recommendation	Resource Implications	Other Implications	
6.4.1	A Christmas Illuminations Strategy for the Council is produced, reflecting the findings and recommendations in this review. All parties referenced in this review should contribute to/be consulted on the strategy; however, the lead for the document should be taken jointly between EDS and Culture & Leisure.	Agreed.		
6.4.2	The Christmas Illuminations Strategy is reported to the Regeneration Scrutiny Panel in March 07.	Agreed.		
6.4.3	That all sponsorship packages offer a range of benefits to the sponsors	Based on our comments in 6.1.5 this will be discussed as part of the tendering process.		
6.4.4	That a new 3 year contract is tendered for the Town Centre Illuminations - this contract is to be managed by the Town Centre Partnership.	We need to be clear about what we want the contractors to deliver us. The contract should be lead and managed by EDS and we propose that the existing contract should be extended by one year to allow the new strategy to be written and implemented.		

6.4.5	Discussions between EDS and 2010 take place to agree the basis on which 2010 will store, replace and erect lights for the Gateway Tree's.	Storage to be included in the new contract as per comments above.		
6.4.6	That 2010 work with Area Assemblies to provide technical input to local area illuminations, including storage, replacement and erection of lights. Local sponsorship packages should be designed to cover this cost.	This depends upon the new contract.		
6.4.7	The existing budget of £4000 for District Christmas trees ceases from 2007.	If this is removed it will reduce the current budget to £41,000.		